

Faculty of Informatics

# Dissertation Proposal Review

PhD Candidate	Review Date:
Advisor 1:	Signature
Advisor 2:	Signature
Advisor 3:	Signature
Internal:	Signature
	Signature
	Signature
	Signature
PhD Director:	Signature

## Evaluation Summary (check one)

- Pass:** The proposal clearly identifies a significant problem and clearly outlines a viable and methodical research plan. The internal committee accepts the proposal and requests that the student submit the proposal to the external committee for evaluation, possibly with minor changes as indicated in the comments section.
- Repeat:** The proposal does not clearly identify a significant problem or does not provide sufficient evidence or a sound methodology to approach the problem. The committee believes that the student could reformulate and ultimately complete this research, and therefore requires that the student submit a new proposal for a second internal review. Notice that *a third review is not admissible*. Therefore, *a student may be given a "repeat" only once*.
- Fail:** The proposal fails to clearly identify a significant problem or does not provide sufficient evidence or a sound methodology to approach the problem. The committee believes that student will not be able to reformulate and complete this research towards a doctoral dissertation.

---

**Comments**

---