

Faculty of Informatics

Dissertation Defense Report

PhD Candidate	Defense Date:
Advisor 1:	Signature
Advisor 2:	Signature
Advisor 3:	Signature
Committee:	Signature
	Signature
	Signature
	Signature
	Signature
PhD Director:	Signature

Evaluation Summary (check one)

- Pass:** The dissertation describes original and significant research results and conforms to high standards of academic scholarship. The committee accepts the dissertation and requests that the candidate prepare a final version of the dissertation, possibly with minor changes detailed in the comments section and to be reviewed and approved by the advisor.
- Repeat:** The dissertation does not meet the required standards of academic scholarship, or the results are not clearly valid, original, or significant. The committee believes that the candidate has the potential to improve the dissertation or the results to meet the required standards, and therefore recommends that the candidate prepare a revised dissertation and submit to a second defense. Notice that *a third defense is not admissible*. Therefore, *a student may be given a "repeat" only once*.
- Fail:** The proposal fails to meet the required standards of academic scholarship, or the results are not clearly

Comments (attach the assessment reports produced by each member of the committee)
