

Faculty of Biomedical Sciences

PhD Thesis Defence Report

PhD Candidate	Defence Date
Thesis Director	Signature
Thesis Co-director	Signature
Board of Examiners	Signature
	Signature
	Signature
	Signature
	Signature
Chair of the Board	Signature

Evaluation Summary (check one)

- ☐ **Accepted:** The thesis describes original and significant research results and conforms to high standards of academic scholarship. The Board accepts the thesis and asks the candidate to prepare a final version of the dissertation, possibly with minor changes detailed in the comments section and to be reviewed and approved by the Thesis Director.
- ☐ **Deferred:** The thesis does not meet the required standards of academic scholarship, or the results are not clearly valid, original, or significant. The Board believes that the candidate has the potential to improve the thesis or the results to meet the required standards, and therefore asks the candidate to prepare a revised thesis and to submit it in a second defence.
- ☐ **Rejected:** The thesis fails to meet the required standards of academic scholarship, or the results are not clearly valid, original, or significant. The Board believes that the candidate will not be able to prepare a revised thesis that would meet the required standards within a reasonable time. The student is excluded from PhD studies.

Comments (attach the evaluation reports produced by each member of the Board)
